

Item 10
HW/OASMR/20/00437
14 Kingsdon Lane, Harlow

Correspondence:

A further comment on the application has been received since the publication of the Committee Agenda papers. This states (in summary):

Applications the Council have refused across the last 4 x months.

1. HW/FUL/00093 rejected on 13/11/00
Houses in Roydon
No objections received yet rejected by council

2. HW/FUL/20/00380
In fill house 47-48 Altham Grove
No objections received yet rejected by council

3. HW/FUL/20/00316
New detached dwelling Greygoose Park.
No objections received yet rejected by council.

- These are the first house applications I found in a 5 minute search all rejected planning permission. Interestingly NONE had any neighbours recorded as objecting.
- Yet you appear willing to entertain an application with 70 plus objections from neighbours PLUS councillors for 3 x dwellings where ONLY 2 were originally planned!!!!
- I have looked at the two Harlow locations personally and would struggle to even compare them with the issues raised by residents in this case.
- How have you rejected these yet appear supportive of these developments?
- How can you ethically support 3 x houses on land only originally earmarked for 2.
- This surely cannot progress based on the sheer volumes of valid objections by residents.
- Please revisit the planning and consider access via Kingston Lane as it offer a much access. Ask for the plans to be resubmitted with consideration of the front of No14 being sold off as an access point?
- I cannot find ANY application with as many rejections across the 2020 period of planning applications. Am I incorrect?

Officer response:

National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) advises that decisions on planning applications must be made in accordance with planning policies unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Local opposition or support for a proposal is not in itself a ground for refusing or granting planning permission, unless it is founded upon valid material planning reasons.

Recommendation

There is no change to the recommendation in the published agenda.